

# Introduction to the Design and Analysis of Algorithms

Stefan D. Bruda

CS 317, Fall 2024



- It all starts with a **problem**: a task to be performed or a question to be answered
  - 1 Sort a sequence  $S$  of  $n$  numbers in increasing order
  - 2 Determine whether the number  $x$  is in the sequence  $S$  of  $n$  numbers
  - 3 Find the  $n$ th term in the Fibonacci sequence 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, ...
- **Parameters**: variables that are not assigned values in the statement of the problem
  - 1  $S, n$
  - 2  $S, n, x$
  - 3  $n$
- **Algorithm**: A rigorous, step-by-step procedure to solve a problem for all possible values of the parameters
  - Named after Abū 'Abdallāh Muḥammad ibn Mūsā al-Khwārizmī, or **Mohammed Al-Khorezmi** for short (Baghdad, 780–850)



- **Instance:** A specific assignment of values to the parameters
  - 1 Sorting instance:  $S = \langle 8, 3, 5, 6, 3, 9, 2 \rangle$ ,  $n = 7$
  - 2 Searching instance:  $S = \langle 8, 3, 5, 6, 3, 9, 2 \rangle$ ,  $n = 7$ ,  $x = 9$
  - 3 Fibonacci calculation instance:  $n = 4$
- **Solution:** The answer to the question posed in the problem on the given instance
  - 1  $S = \langle 2, 3, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9 \rangle$
  - 2 Yes/True
  - 3 3
- A traditional algorithm:
  - Receives an **input**
  - Produces an **output**
  - Is **deterministic** i.e., all the intermediate results are unambiguously determined by the previous steps and input
  - It is **correct** (aka partial correctness)
  - It always **terminates** (aka total correctness)
  - It is **general** in the sense that it works for any set of input values



## 1 Algorithm design (the Art)

Can consider different techniques such as

- Divide and conquer
- Greedy
- Dynamic programming
- Backtracking
- Branch and bound

## 2 Algorithm analysis (the Science)

- Proof of partial and total correctness
  - Performance analysis (time and space)
- 
- Throughout the course we will describe algorithms using **pseudocode**
    - Flexible enough to allow for concise descriptions, but rigorous enough to be easily translated into actual code in any half-decent programming language



- There are multiple algorithms for most problems
- Find the largest of four values  $a, b, c, d$
- Which algorithm is
  - More time efficient?
  - More space efficient?
  - More elegant?

```
largest ← a
if  $b > largest$  then
  | largest ← b
if  $c > largest$  then
  | largest ← c
if  $d > largest$  then
  | largest ← d
return largest
```

```
if  $a > b$  then
  | if  $a > c$  then
  | | if  $a > d$  then
  | | | return a
  | | | else return d
  | | else
  | | | if  $c > d$  then
  | | | | return c
  | | | | else return d
  | else
  | | if  $b > c$  then
  | | | if  $b > d$  then
  | | | | return b
  | | | | else return d
  | | | else
  | | | | if  $c > d$  then
  | | | | | return c
  | | | | | else return d
  | | | | else return d
```



- There are multiple algorithms for most problems
- Find the largest of four values  $a, b, c, d$
- Which algorithm is
  - More time efficient?  
(number of comparisons!)
  - More space efficient?
  - More elegant?

```
largest ← a
if b > largest then
  | largest ← b
if c > largest then
  | largest ← c
if d > largest then
  | largest ← d
return largest
```

```
if a > b then
  | if a > c then
  | | if a > d then
  | | | return a
  | | else return d
  | else
  | | if c > d then
  | | | return c
  | | else return d
else
  | if b > c then
  | | if b > d then
  | | | return b
  | | else return d
  | else
  | | if c > d then
  | | | return c
  | | else return d
```



- There are multiple algorithms for most problems
- Find the largest of four values  $a, b, c, d$
- Which algorithm is
  - More time efficient?  
(number of comparisons!)
  - More space efficient?
  - More elegant?  
(e.g., simpler)

```
largest ← a
if b > largest then
  | largest ← b
if c > largest then
  | largest ← c
if d > largest then
  | largest ← d
return largest
```

```
if a > b then
  | if a > c then
  | | if a > d then
  | | | return a
  | | else return d
  | else
  | | if c > d then
  | | | return c
  | | else return d
else
  | if b > c then
  | | if b > d then
  | | | return b
  | | else return d
  | else
  | | if c > d then
  | | | return c
  | | else return d
```



- Multiplication of two integers

**Traditional**  
(0981 × 0123)

```

  981
x 123
-----
 2943
 1962
  981
-----
120663
    
```

**Divide and conquer**  
(09|81 × 01|23)

```

   09 81
x  01 23
-----
   18 63 (23 x 81)
   207   (23 x 09)
    81   (01 x 81)
   09    (01 x 09)
-----
 1206 63
    
```

**Peasant multiplication**  
( $m \times n$ )

```

result ← 0
repeat
  if m is odd then
    result ← result + n
  m ← m div 2
  n ← n + n
until m < 1:
    
```





- Computing the  $n$ th Fibonacci number

- Recursive:

**algorithm FIB ( $n$ ):**

```
    if  $n \leq 1$  then
      | return  $n$ 
    else
      | return FIB ( $n - 1$ ) + FIB ( $n - 2$ )
```

- Iterative:

**algorithm FIB ( $n$ ):**

```
     $f[0] \leftarrow 0$ 
    if  $n > 0$  then
      |  $f[1] \leftarrow 1$ 
      | for  $i = 2$  to  $n$  do
      |   |  $f[i] \leftarrow f[i - 1] + f[i - 2]$ 
      | return  $f[n]$ 
```

- Which algorithm is more elegant?
- Which algorithm is faster?



- Searching for a given value in a sequence of values

- Sequential search:

**algorithm** SEQSEARCH( $x, S, l, h$ ):

```
     $i \leftarrow l$ 
    while  $i \leq h$  do
        if  $S[i] = x$  then return  $i$ 
        else  $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
    return  $-1$ 
```

- Binary search:

**algorithm** BINSEARCH( $x, S, l, h$ ):

```
     $i \leftarrow l$ 
     $j \leftarrow h$ 
    while  $i \leq j$  do
         $m \leftarrow (i + j) / 2$ 
        if  $S[m] = x$  then return  $m$ 
        else if  $S[m] > x$  then  $j \leftarrow m - 1$ 
        else  $i \leftarrow m + 1$ 
    return  $-1$ 
```

- Speed? Restrictions?



- Searching for a given value in a sequence of values

- Sequential search:

**algorithm** SEQSEARCH( $x, S, l, h$ ):

```
     $i \leftarrow l$   
    while  $i \leq h$  do  
        if  $S[i] = x$  then return  $i$   
        else  $i \leftarrow i + 1$   
    return  $-1$ 
```

- Binary search:

**algorithm** BINSEARCH( $x, S, l, h$ ):

```
     $i \leftarrow l$   
     $j \leftarrow h$   
    while  $i \leq j$  do  
         $m \leftarrow (i + j) / 2$   
        if  $S[m] = x$  then return  $m$   
        else if  $S[m] > x$  then  $j \leftarrow m - 1$   
        else  $i \leftarrow m + 1$   
    return  $-1$ 
```

- Speed? Restrictions?

(BINSEARCH is not an algorithm unless preconditions are stated)



- The performance of an algorithm (running time, space requirements) must be a **function of input size**
  - Critical to define a **meaningful input size**
    - Running time may vary widely when different concepts of size are considered
  - Example: The running time of an algorithm for multiplying two  $n \times n$  matrices
    - Compare the running time as a function of  $n$  (the dimension of the matrix) vs. a function of  $n \times n$  (the number of values in one matrix) vs. a function of  $2 \times n \times n$  (the total number of values involved)
    - What would be a fair notion of input size?
  - Example: Consider an algorithm that determines whether the input  $N$  is a prime number
    - Compare the running time as a function of  $N$  (the input number itself) vs. a function of the number of digits of  $N$
    - What would be a fair notion of input size?



- During the course we will mostly analyze algorithms with respect to their running time
  - Arguably the most significant measure of performance
- Running time can vary depending on many other factors than the size of the input, such as the power of the machine the implementation will run on
  - We want a measure of performance that is independent of such factors
  - We will split the running time of algorithms into classes that ignore this kind of factors (multiplicative or additive constants)  $\Rightarrow$  **time complexity**
  - We will further analyze the time complexity of an algorithm as the input size keeps increasing indefinitely  $\Rightarrow$  **asymptotic time complexity**
- The running time of many algorithms depends of the particular instance the algorithm runs on, so one may consider
  - **worst-case time complexity** (used the most often)
  - **average-case time complexity** (used sometimes)
  - **best-case time complexity** (not very meaningful, rarely used if ever)
- **Amortized complexity** determines the running time an algorithm is statistically likely to need (under various definitions of “likely”)
  - Most useful for operations over data structures and also online algorithms