Multiservice servers

Stefan D. Bruda

CS 464/564, Fall 2023



• Why?

- Because it sounds like fun
- Because we may need it
 - E.g., a database server might receive requests from clients, but also from other database servers which want to keep information in sync

• How?







More whys

- It is also the case that there are a whole bunch of small TCP services out there
 - Some of them may be used once a month or something
 - Keeping one server running for each and every such a service is an utter waste of resources
- It makes sense to run a "super server" which will listen to many sockets and launch the appropriate server only when needed
 - These servers are separate executables that do not run unless the super server launches them

How?





- As far as server design is concerned, a multiservice server is not that different
 - In particular, you can build such a server that
 - is iterative (does not make much sense though),
 - simulates concurrency in one thread of execution,
 - uses multiple processes, or
 - uses one process with multiple threads of execution
- Sometimes it make sense to launch a different program when a connection request arrives
 - More flexible: small changes in various application protocols being handled do not need the recompilation of the whole thing
- Sometimes it make sense to implement everything in one program
 - E.g., when the different protocols are closely related to each other and make no sense when considered in isolation

IMPLEMENTATION OF MULTISERVICE OR SUPER SERVERS (CONT'D)

- Multiservice servers listen to several master sockets with no way to anticipate which of them will receive the next connection request
 - You can have an individual thread (or process) listening on each master socket
 - Alternatively, you can use poll or select in the master thread
- When launching different programs that handle the actual communication, it makes much more sense to use processes
 - Indeed, you just do fork immediately followed by execve in the child process
- When the multiple application protocols are handled by one program, it makes more sense to use threads
 - Those protocols share a big deal of data, else you would have handled them using separate programs...





- A multiservice server (with all the code in one program) does not need a lot of configuration
- It is reasonable though to expect the ability to configure a super server
 - We start with a super server skeleton
 - An administrator may then add or delete services to our skeleton as needed
- Static configuration: The configuration information is written in a configuration file, read by the server each time it starts
 - If an administrator wants to add (or delete) a service, she will change this file, stop the server, and launch it again.
- Dynamic configuration: We have the same configuration file, but
 - The server does not need to be stopped and restarted
 - Instead, the administrator changes this file, and tells the server that the file has been modified by sending a signal
 - Once the server receives the signal, it re-reads the configuration file and applies the changes
 - Civilized servers react this way when they receive SIGHUP (1)
 - What if there is no signal mechanism?



If there is no signal mechanism, we can use for reconfiguration and many other thing... (drum roll) sockets!

- Recall that on any machine running TCP/IP the IP address 127.0.0.1 always denotes the machine itself and only the machine itself
- So we can have an extra master socket, the control socket as follows:
 - A control socket listens only to the address 127.0.0.1 (INADDR_LOOPBACK) and receives control messages
 - One such control message could be a request to re-read the configuration file, and we then implement dynamic configuration
- The control socket is actually more general than the SIGHUP signal, and thus useful for other tasks as well
 - Indeed, we can use it to send any imaginable commands to the server!
 - For instance, in a server with a monitor thread we can ask the monitor thread to print information on demand rather than periodically

CONTROL SOCKET: EXAMPLE

```
void* monitor (void* ignored) {
 const int cport = 8000; // control port
 int csock, ssock, connections, n;
 char com[256];
 struct sockaddr in client addr: // the address of the client...
 unsigned int client addr len = sizeof(client addr): // ... and its length
 csock = controlsocket(cport,0);
 while (1) {
   ssock = accept(csock, (struct sockaddr*)&client_addr, &client_addr_len);
   while (1) { // we keep reading commands from the control client...
     int done = 0:
      if ((n = readline(ssock, com, 256)) < 0) {
        perror("readline (control)"): done = 1: }
     else if (n == 0) done = 1:
     else if ( strncmp("QUIT", com, strlen("QUIT")) == 0 ) done = 1;
     else if ( strncmp("DUMP",com,strlen("DUMP")) != 0 ) continue;
     if (done) {
        shutdown(ssock,1); close (ssock); break; // from the inner while loop.
      3
     // we have received a DUMP command so we get busy:
     pthread_mutex_lock(&mon.mutex);
     pthread_mutex_unlock(&mon.mutex);
   } // inner while
 } // outer while
```





Many Unix systems do not run a server for each and every service they offer; instead, they run inetd (the "internet daemon")

- Motivation: offer many services without using excessive system resources
- More motivation: ECHO is a useful service for network debugging, but does not make much sense in a production system; it should be easy to enable and disable it
- Inetd is dynamically configurable (it understands SIGHUP)
- The configuration is stored in /etc/inetd.conf, with lines like this:

service	socket	protocol	wait?	userid	server	argu-
name	type				program	ments
ftp	stream	tcp	nowait	root	/usr/sbin/proftpd	

• Problem: how does the called program know what socket to communicate on with the client?



Many Unix systems do not run a server for each and every service they offer; instead, they run inetd (the "internet daemon")

- Motivation: offer many services without using excessive system resources
- More motivation: ECHO is a useful service for network debugging, but does not make much sense in a production system; it should be easy to enable and disable it
- Inetd is dynamically configurable (it understands SIGHUP)
- The configuration is stored in /etc/inetd.conf, with lines like this:

service	socket	protocol	wait?	userid	server	argu-
name	type				program	ments
ftp	stream	tcp	nowait	root	/usr/sbin/proftpd	

- Problem: how does the called program know what socket to communicate on with the client?
 - Inetd moves the connection (i.e., opened slave socket) to index zero in the child's descriptor table
 - So a "subserver" (such as /usr/sbin/proftpd) will just read from and write to socket descriptor 0



```
The super server (e.g., inetd) will do something like this:
    if (fork() == 0) {
      close(msock); // child does not listen to master socket...
      close(0);
      dup2(ssock,0); // copy ssock to index 0 in the descriptor table
      char** slave_server_args = {0};
      execve(slave_server, slave_server_args,envp);
    }
    else
      ... (parent code)
Then the slave server will do:
    while ((n = readline(0,req,ALEN-1)) != 0) {
      if (strcmp(req,"quit") == 0) { break; }
      send(0,ack,strlen(ack),0);
      send(0,req,strlen(req),0);
      send(0,"\n",1,0);
    }
```

XINETD



- In fact, newer systems use xinetd (the "extended internet daemon")
- Behaviour is similar to inetd except that the place of a configuration file is taken by a directory (/etc/xinetd.d)
- For any service you can use, you drop into this directory a small text file

```
< hoare: ~ > cat /etc/xinetd.d/cups-lpd

service printer

{

    socket_type = stream

    protocol = tcp

    wait = no

    user = lp

    group = lp

    passenv =

    server = /usr/libexec/cups/daemon/cups-lpd

    server_args = -o document-format=application/octet-stream

    disable = yes
```

}